SINGLE BENCH

C.S. 1001

(1)
(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 5277 **/2005**

PETITIONER : Awadhesh Kumar Gupta Aged about 49 years, S/o. Late Shri R.S. Gupta, R/o. Village Batauli, Sitapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1] State of Chhattisgarh,
Through: Secretary, Tribal Welfare Deptt., Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

2] Commissioner,
Tribal Welfare Department, Raipur, Distt. , Raipur (CG)

3] Chief Executive Officer,
Distt. Panchayat Surguja, Ambikapur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)

4] Block Education Officer,
Batauli, Block Batauli, Tahsil Sitapur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)

5] Asst. Commissioner,
Tribal Welfare Department, District Surguja (C.G.)

6] Parasnath Singh
Head Master, School Sarmana, Block Batauli, Tahsil Sitapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

P.R. No. 5093/05 Awadhesh
Presented by the
dated 20/10/05

RECEIVED-COPY
20/10/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1] **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER (S):**
As stated above in the cause title.

2] **PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENT (S):**
As stated above in the cause title.

XI-HC-22

(49)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

WP 5277/05

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19-12-2005 Shri Vaibhav Goverdhan, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 14-10-2005 (Annexure-P/5) the petitioner has been transferred from Middle School, Belkota to Middle School, Sarmana. He further submits that the transfer order has been issued during the ban period. He also submits that the petitioner is a Class-III employee. Learned counsel submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within a period of two weeks, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been relieved. He prays that the petitioner may be allowed to continue.	

[पीछे देखिये

27/12/05

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Gowri	In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, it is directed that if the petitioner has not been relieved he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.).P.No.4261/05 & I.A.No.9135/05 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

[पीछे देखिये